

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

08/931,615

09/16/97

KAWATA

Т

041464-5018

EXAMINER

LM02/0510

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS 1800 M STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20036-5869

LE,H ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2743

DATE MAILED:

05/10/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/931,615

Applica. (6)

Kawata et al.

Examiner

Huyen Le

Group Art Unit 2743



TH	E PEF	RIOD FOR RE	SPONS	E: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🛚	expires	3	months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) [expires either is later. In in rejection.	er three r no event,	months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Adv , however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from	isory Action, whichever n the date of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
	Appe perio	llant's Brief d for respons	is due tv se set fo	wo months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	(or within any
Ap	plican	nt's response	to the	final rejection, filed on $\underline{Apr\ 21,\ 1999}$ has been considered with the the application in condition for allowance:	
X The proposed amendment(s):					
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	X v	vill not be en	tered be	ecause:	
X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					elow).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or issues for appeal.					ng or simplifying the
		they prese	nt addit	tional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	d claims.
NOTE: The added limitations in claims 4, 6 and 10 raise new issues that would require further consearch. (Also see Other)					
	□ A	Applicant's re	esponse	has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	New sepa	ly proposed grate, timely	or amer	nded claims would be allowable claims.	le if submitted in a
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in cond for allowance because:				
			-		
		affidavit or e Examiner in t		will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which rejection.	were newly raised by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):				
	Claims allowed:				
		ns rejected:			
	The	proposed dra	awing c	correction filed on hashas not been approve	ed by the Examiner.
	Note	the attache	d Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	1
X	Othe	the magne	et, the f Sariti do	e arguments on lines 5-6, page 6 in the Remarks about the shape of front and pole plates in the Sariti reference, the Applicant should pes teach and suggest the retangular shape for the magnetic circuit 56-59).	HUYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2743